UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA

CIVIL MINUTE ORDER

VAUGHN R. WALKER
United States District Chief Judge

DATE: August 19, 2009

COURTROOM DEPUTY: Cora Klein **Court Reporter:** Belle Ball

CASE NO. C 09-2292 VRW

CASE TITLE: KRISTIN PERRY et al v. ARNOLD SCHWARNEGGER et al

COUNSEL FOR PLAINTIFFS:
David Boies, Theodore B Olson
Theodore Boutrous, Christopher Dusseault
Theane Kapur, Enrique Monagas
Jeremy Goldman, Theodore Uno
Matthew D McGill

PLAINTIFF INTERVENORS:
Our Family Coalition:
Shannon P Minter, Christopher Stoll,
James Esseks, Elizabeth Gill,
Matthew Coles, Jennifer Pizer

PLAINTIFF INTERVENOR:
City and County of San Francisco:
Therese Stewart, Christine Van Aken
Erin Bernstein, Dennis Herrera

DEFENDANTS:
Arnold Schwarzenegger, Mark Horton, Linette Scott:
Kenneth C Mennemeier

Edmund G Brown- Attorney General of California:
Gordon Burns, Tamar Pachter

Patrick O'Connell - Clerk Recorder for County of Alameda:
Claude Kolm, Lindsey Stern

Dean C Logan - Registrar Recorder/County Clerk for the County of Los Angeles:
Judy Whitehurst

INTERVENOR DEFENDANTS:
Prop 8 Official Proponents and protectmarriage.com:
Charles J Cooper
David H Thompson
Campaign For California Families:
Rena Lindevaldsen

PROCEEDINGS and RESULTS:

The Court heard argument from counsels and ruled as follows:

1. Motion to intervene as party plaintiffs filed by the Our Family coalition, Doc #79 - denied.
2. Motion for intervention as intervenor-defendant filed by Campaign for California Families, Doc # 91 - denied.
3. Motion to intervene filed by City and County of San Francisco, Doc #109 - granted in part to allow San Francisco to present issue of alleged effect on governmental interests.
4. Trial setting and scheduling as follows:
   a. Designation of witnesses presenting evidence under FRE 702, 703 or 705 and production of written reports pursuant to FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): October 2, 2009;
   b. Dispositive motions to be served and filed so as to be heard on October 14, 2009 at 10 AM;
   c. Completion of all discovery, except for evidence intended solely to contradict or rebut evidence on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): November 30, 2009;
   d. Completion of discovery on the same subject matter identified by another party under FRCP 26(a)(2)(B): December 31, 2009; see FRCP 26(a)(2)(C)(ii);
   e. Pretrial conference: December 16, 2009 at 10 AM;
   f. Trial: January 11, 2010 at 8:30 AM.
5. With respect to any disputes regarding discovery, counsel are directed to comply with Civ LR 37-1(b) and the court's standing order 1.5.
6. In the absence of the assigned judge, counsel are directed to bring any discovery disputes before Magistrate Judge Joseph C Spero.